FILED
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF WYOMING

JAN 1 1 2010

Stephan Harris, Clerk Casper

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE DISTRICT OF WYOMING

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)
Plaintiff,	) ) )
v.	)
DANIEL R. UNDERWOOD,	)
Defendant.	)

### **INFORMATION**

## THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY CHARGES THAT:

### **COUNT ONE**

On or about December 4, 2009, in the District of Wyoming, the Defendant, **DANIEL R. UNDERWOOD**, having previously been convicted of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year, knowingly possessed a firearm, that is, a Remington Rifle, Model 592M, 5mm caliber, which had previously traveled in and affected interstate commerce.

In violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 922(g)(1) and 924(a)(2).

#### **COUNT TWO**

On or about December 4, 2009, in the District of Wyoming, the Defendant, **DANIEL R. UNDERWOOD**, having previously been convicted of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a

term exceeding one year, knowingly possessed eleven rounds of 5mm caliber ammunition, which had previously traveled in and affected interstate commerce.

In violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 922(g)(1) and 924(a)(2).

**DATED** this 11th day of January, 2010.

CHRISTOPHER A. CROFTS

United States Attorney

By:

STEPHANIE I. SPRECHER
Assistant United States Attorney

## **PENALTY SUMMARY**

DATE:	January 11, 2010
DEFENDANT NAME:	DANIEL R. UNDERWOOD
VICTIM:	No
OFFENSE AND PENALTIES	S:
OFFENSE: Count	1: 18 U.S.C. §§ 922(g)(1) and 924(a)(2) (Felon in Possession of a Firearm)
PENALTIES:	0-10 YEARS IMPRISONMENT \$250,000 FINE 3 YEARS SUPERVISED RELEASE \$100 SPECIAL ASSESSMENT
OFFENSE: Count	2: 18 U.S.C. §§ 922(g)(1) and 924(a)(2) (Felon in Possession of Ammunition)
PENALTIES:	0-10 YEARS IMPRISONMENT \$250,000 FINE 3 YEARS SUPERVISED RELEASE \$100 SPECIAL ASSESSMENT
TOTALS:	0-20 YEARS IMPRISONMENT \$500,000 FINE 3 YEARS SUPERVISED RELEASE \$200 SPECIAL ASSESSMENT
AGENT: John Powley/A	TF AUSA: Stephanie I. Sprecher
ESTIMATED TIME OF TRI	AL: INTERPRETER NEEDED:
five days or less over five days other	Yes No
THE GOVERNMENT:	
<u>✓</u> will	The court should not grant bond because the defendant is not bondable because there
will not  SEEK DETENTION	are detainers from other jurisdictions  IN THIS CASE.